Under the

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
perwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

### 10/654,790 **Application Number TRANSMITTAL** 09/04/2003 **Filing Date** FORM Pan, Janet L. First Named Inventor

	I CIXIVI	That Named Inventor	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit	2818
		Examiner Name	N/A
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 8		Attorney Docket Number Y0165-002	
	ENC	LOSURES (check	all that apply)
	Assig (for a. Draw.  Licen  Petitic Provis  Powe Chan Addre Term.  Ent Request Request CD, I ionity  Remarks  g Parts/	nment Papers n Application) ng(s) sing-related Papers on to Convert to a sional Application or of Attorney, Revocation are of Correspondence	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):  Return Postcard
	SIGNATURE OF APP	LICANT, ATTORNEY, OR	AGENT
Firm or Individual name Signature Date	David Garrod (Reg. No.	35,149) 06/14/2004	
	CEDTIEI	CATE OF MAILING	
	respondence is being deposited with sed to: Commissioner for Patents, W	the United States Postal Servi	ce with sufficient postage as first class ate:
Signature		Date	on the needs of the individual case. Any comments

on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

06/16/04

ley Docket No .: Y0165-002

PATENT

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Pan, Janet L.

Group Art Unit:

2818

Serial No.:

10/654,790

Filing Date:

09/04/2003

Examiner:

N/A

Title:

Use of Deep-Level Transitions in Semiconductor Devices

Mail Stop Petition **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### **EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE**

Express Mail Label No.: EL 616520257 US

Date of Deposit: June 14, 2004

I hereby certify that the following documents:

- 1. Transmittal Form (1 Page);
- 2. Renewed Petition to Correct Filing Date and Supporting Exhibits (8 Pages); and
- 3 Return Postcard

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office To Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mailing Address:

PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-6710 Telephone: (212) 336-2000

Facsimile: (212) 336-2222

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

To: Please deliver to Paul Shanoski, c/o Office of Petitions

In re: Application of Janet L. Pan

For: USE OF DEEP-LEVEL TRANSITIONS IN SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES

Filed: 9/4/03

10 S/N: 10/654,790

### RENEWED PETITION TO CORRECT FILING DATE

Sir/Madam:

15

5

Applicant respectfully renews its petition to correct the filing date in response to the Decision on Petition Under 37 C.F.R. §1.53(copy attached as Ex. A), mailed 4/14/2004, in the above-identified application.

In refusing to correct the filing date, the Office noted that applicant had not submitted copies of both sides of the original return postcard. Accordingly, applicant hereby submits copies of both sides of the return post card, both as filed (attached Ex. B) and as returned (attached Ex. C). In light of this additional evidence, applicant respectfully requests that the Office reconsider the petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Patterson, Belknap, Webb & Tyler, LLP

30

Dated: 6/4/2004

By:

David Garrod (Reg. No. 35,149)

35

1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-6710 Tel. 212-336-2183



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

Patterson, Belknap, Webb & Tyler LLP

ATTN: IP Docketing

1133 Avenue of the Americas

New York NY 10036

COPY MAILED \

APR 1 4 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Janet L. Pan

Application No. 10/654,790

Filed: September 4, 2003

Attorney Docket No. Y0165-002

Title: USE OF DEEP-LEVEL

TRANSITIONS IN SEMICONDUCTOR

DEVICES

DECISION ON PETITION

UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.53(e)

section, commession and a management of the

BACKGROUND

This is a decision on the "petition to correct filing date," which is properly treated as a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. \$1.53(e), filed January 26, 2004, requesting the application be accorded a filing date of September 4, 2003 with Figure 16 considered part of the original disclosure.

The application was deposited on September 4, 2003. However, on November 25, 2003, the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) mailed a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application - Filing Date Granted" (Notice), stating that the application appeared to have been deposited without Figure 16. The notice further indicated that an executed oath or declaration and the surcharge associated with the late filing of an oath or declaration were required.

In response, on January 26, 2004, applicants filed the present petition. Applicants request that the application, including Figure 16, be accorded a filing date of September 4, 2003. Petitioner has included the following four submissions in an attempt to have this figure accepted as present on filing:



section, torras

and back one.

- A statement that Applicant's copy of the "9/4/03 filing papers $^{1}$ " contains the missing figure
- A statement that Applicant's copy of the "9/4/03 filing papers2" contains both an Express Mail certificate and a return postcard, both of which make reference to the missing
- A copy of the Express Mail label
- A copy of applicants' postcard receipt which properly itemizes "Drawings - 16 sheet(s)/Figs. 1 to 16."

Receipt of the declaration and the surcharge associated with the late filing of the same is acknowledged.

# THE RELEVANT PORTIONS OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND MPEP

37 C.F.R. § 1.8 sets forth, in part:

§ 1.8 Certificate of mailing or transmission.

(a) Except in the cases enumerated in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, correspondence required to be filed in the Patent and Trademark Office within a set period of time will be considered as being timely filed if the procedure described in this section is followed. The actual date of receipt will be used for all other purposes.

Correspondence will be considered as being timely filed if:

- The correspondence is mailed or transmitted prior to expiration of the set period of time by being:
  - (A) Addressed as set out in § 1.1(a) and deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail; or
  - Transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office in accordance with \$1.6(d);
- The correspondence includes a certificate for each piece of correspondence stating the date of deposit or transmission. The person signing the certificate should have reasonable basis to expect that the correspondence would be mailed or transmitted on or before the date indicated.
- The procedure described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section does not apply to, and no benefit will be given to a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission on, the following:
  - Relative to Patents and Patent Applications -(A) The filing of a national patent application specification and <u>drawing</u> or other

correspondence for the purpose of obtaining an

<sup>1</sup> Petition, page 1.

<sup>2</sup> Id.

application filing date, including a request for a continued prosecution application under \$1.53(d);

Section 503 of the MPEP, entitled Application Number and Filing Receipt, sets forth, in part:

#### RETURN POSTCARD

If a receipt of any item (e.g., paper or fee) filed in the USPTO is desired, it may be obtained by enclosing with the paper a self-addressed postcard specifically identifying the item. The USPTO will stamp the receipt date on the postcard and place it in the outgoing mail. A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the items which are being filed serves as prima facie evidence of receipt in the USPTO of all the items listed thereon on the date stamped thereon by the USPTO.

The identifying data on the postcard should be so complete as to clearly identify the item for which receipt is requested. For example, the postcard should identify the applicant's name, application number (if known), confirmation number (if known), filing date, interference are the postcard should also identify the type of the invention, etc. The postcard should also identify the type of paper being filed, e.g., new application, affidavit, amendment, notice of appeal, appeal brief, drawings, fees, motions, supplemental oath or declaration, petition, etc., and the number of pages being submitted. If a new application is being filed, all parts of the application being submitted should be separately listed on the postcard, e.g., the number of pages of specification (including written description, claims and abstract), number of claims, number of sheets of drawings, number of pages of oath/declaration, number of pages of cover sheet (provisional application).

The postcard receipt will not serve as prima facie evidence of receipt of any item which is not adequately itemized on the postcard. For example, merely listing on the postcard "a complete application" or "patent application" will not serve as a proper receipt for each of the required components of an application (e.g., specification (including claims), drawings (if necessary), oath or declaration and the application filing fee) or missing portions (e.g., pages, sheets of drawings) of an application if one of the components or portion of a component is found to be missing by the USPTO. Each separate component should be specifically and properly itemized on the postcard. Furthermore, merely incorporating by reference in the postcard receipt, the items listed in a transmittal letter will not serve as prima facie evidence of receipt of those items.

The person receiving the item(s) in the USPTO will check the listing on the postcard against the item(s) being filed to be sure they are properly identified and that all the items listed on the postcard are presently being submitted to the USPTO. If any of the items listed on the postcard are not being submitted to the USPTO, those items will be crossed off and the postcard initialed by the person receiving the items.

Upon return of a postcard receipt from the USPTO, the postcard receipt should be promptly reviewed by the person who filed the items to ensure that every item specifically denoted on the postcard was received by the USPTO. If the postcard receipt has been annotated to indicate that a particular item denoted on the postcard was not received by the USPTO, the postcard receipt will not serve as prima facie evidence of receipt of that item in the USPTO.

(emphasis added)

### ANALYSIS

The argument and evidence submitted is not persuasive.

With regards to the first submission, the Patent Office file is the official record of the papers originally filed in this application. A review of the official file reveals that Figure 16 was not included on filing. The PTO file is the official record of the papers originally filed in this application. Unfortunately, the papers which Petitioner has in his personally, the papers which Petitioner has in his personally.

Regarding the first portion of the second submission, the Express Mail certificate cannot be used to establish that a drawing was received in the Office, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a) (2) (i) (A), reproduced above.

Regarding the third submission, an Express Mail label can be used to establish that an application was deposited with the United States Postal Service on a particular date for the purposes of establishing the date of deposit as the filing date, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.10. However, 37 C.F.R. §1.10 cannot be used to establish that a particular item was included on filing.

Regarding both the second portion of the second submission and the fourth submission, it is noted that the postcard does not contain a date stamp<sup>3</sup>. As such, it cannot serve as prima facile evidence of receipt in the USPTO of all the items listed thereon on the date stamped on the postcard. Therefore, Petitioner's postcard receipt cannot constitute persuasive evidence.

<sup>3</sup> Perhaps the date stamp was placed on the reverse side of the postcard? All that was provided was a copy of the front of the postcard.

### CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the petition must be DISMISSED.

Any response must be submitted within  $\underline{\text{TWO}}$  (2)  $\underline{\text{MONTHS}}$  from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The response should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.53(e)."

The reply to this letter may be submitted by  $\operatorname{mail}^4$ ,  $\operatorname{hand-delivery}^5$ , or facsimile<sup>6</sup>.

The reply should display "Please deliver to Paul Shanoski, c/o Office of Petitions" in a prominent manner. The Petitioner may wish to consider telephoning the undersigned at the number provided below to confirm that the documents were delivered to the undersigned. Please note that the delivery process within the PTO can take as much as three weeks.

The application file will be retained in the Office of Petitions for two (2) months.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0011.


Paul Shanoski Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

**United States Patent and Trademark Office** 

<sup>4</sup> Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

<sup>5</sup> Customer Window, Mail Stop Petition, Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1803, Arlington, Virginia 22202.

<sup>6 (703) 872-9306 -</sup> please note this is a central facsimile number, and as such, there will be a delay in the delivery of the facsimile to the undersigned.



PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER LLP 1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036

ATTN: IP DEPT.

Serial No. N/A Filing Date	Filing Date heed below 175 File No 10165-002
WANTE USE OF DEEP-LEVEL TRANSITION	WHIT USE OF DEEP-LEVEL TRANSITIONS IN SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICES
Applicant: JUNG L. Par	Date
The PTO has received:	Last Due Date:
R Patent Application of	☐ TM Application ☐ ITU Basis
Pages (including claims & abstract)	Statement of Use
▼ Declaration or □ Designation Sheet	Extension of Time
Drawings 16_Sheet(s)/Figs. 1_to 1.16	☐ Notice of Appeal
☐ Priority Document	□ Brief
Small Entity Declaration	☐ Petition
☐ Assignment & Conveyance Cover Sheet	Status Request PTO STAMP
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	☐ Issue Fee
□ PTO-1449	☐ Affidavit of Use
X Amendment	☐ Renewal
Affidavit or Declaration	Certificate of Mailing
	K Express Mail No. EV 265498245 US
St Check No BO492 for \$ 375.00	B Rehrn Postcard
12/70	

# **GEST AVAILABLE COPY**



PATTERSON, BELKNAP, WEBB & TYLER LLP.
1133 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10036